

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

NAVAL SEA SYSTEMS COMMAND 1333 ISAAC HULL AVENUE WASHINGTON NAVY YARD DC 20376-2101

IN REPLY REPER TO

5720 Ser SEA 00A5/DON-NAVY-2018-001042F November 7, 2017

Ms. Charlotte Littles 155 Potomac Passage, Unit 436 National Harbor, MD 20745

SUBJECT: YOUR FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT CASE DON-NAVY 2018-001042

Dear Ms. Littles:

This is a final response to your July 29, 2017, Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request that was originally submitted to the Naval Criminal Investigative Service (NCIS). During the processing of your request, knowledgeable personnel with that office located documents that fall under the cognizance of the Naval Sea Systems Command and forwarded them to this office for processing and a direct response to you.

I considered your request under the FOIA (5 U.S.C. 552), as amended, and the Secretary of the Navy Instruction 5720.42F. After reviewing your request, the FOIA exemptions and the instruction, I am partially denying your request under FOIA Exemptions b(5), b(6) and b(7)(C).

The documents contain information that is protected under Exemption b(5) of the FOIA. Exemption 5 protects agency decision-making processes by allowing agencies to withhold predecisional and deliberative process information. In this instance, the requested documents responsive to your request contain the candid opinions and recommendations of the individuals that were provided in an effort to assist in the decision making process. This information has been redacted from the report because it would reveal pre-decisional and deliberative process information, conclusions, recommendations, and opinions that are simply part of the decision making process rather than the final decision. Revealing such information would harm the decision-making process since it would negatively impact the ability of investigators to provide candid recommendations in the future.

Exemption b(6) requires withholding of information in files where disclosure "would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy." In applying Exemption 6, a balancing test must be done, weighing the privacy interests of the individuals named in a document against the public interest in disclosure of the information requested. The public interest in disclosure is one that will "shed light on an agency's performance of its statutory duties." Dep't of Justice v.
Reporters Committee, 489 U.S. 749, 773 (1989). In this request, all material that is covered by Exemption 6 is also covered by Exemption 7(C).

The threshold requirement in any Exemption b(7) inquiry is whether the documents were compiled for law enforcement purposes, that is, as part of or in connection with an Agency law enforcement proceeding. F.B.I. v. Abramson, 456 U.S. 615, 622 (1982). A "record compiled for law enforcement purposes" is much broader than simply looking at whether the office that created/obtained/used the record is an office that traditionally enforces the law. So, for instance, a commander directed inquiry report, professional responsibility investigation report, or other report investigating alleged misconduct, discipline, or enforcement of rules are considered "records compiled for law enforcement purposes." The responsive records you seek are properly considered records compiled for a law enforcement purpose. Accordingly, withholding information in the record under Exemption 7 and its subparagraphs is required, as appropriate.

FOIA Exemption 7(C), 5 U.S.C. § 552 (b) (7) (C), is broader than the privacy standard of FOIA Exemption 6, but only protects privacy information contained in records compiled for a law enforcement purpose. Exemption 7(C) requires withholding of records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes, where disclosure "could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy."

In applying Exemption 7(C) a balancing test must be done, weighing the privacy interests of the individuals named in a document and the public interest in disclosure of the information requested. The public interest in disclosure is one that will "shed light on an agency's performance of its statutory duties." Dep't of Justice v. Reporters Committee, 489 U.S. 749, 773 (1989).

In this request, the information redacted under Exemptions 6 and 7(C) would reveal the identities of the individuals making the statements and the individuals about whom the statements were made. Those individuals have a very strong privacy

interest in not being identified as such identification in a law enforcement record could reasonably be expected to lead to a stigmatizing effect, or to harassment and/or annoyance. The redacted information would do little to shed light on the agency's performance of its statutory duties while constituting an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy and revealing the identity of individuals involved in a law enforcement investigation. Therefore the material covered by exemptions b(6) and b(7)(C) are properly redacted.

I am the official responsible for the decision regarding your request. Should you decide to file an appeal, it must be received within 90 calendar days from the date of this letter and should contain a copy of your original requests, a copy of this letter, and a statement indicating why you think your appeal should be granted. Attach a copy of this letter to the appeal and mark the appeal and the envelope "Freedom of Information Act Appeal." Mail the original to Department of the Navy, Office of the General Counsel, Attn: FOIA APPEALS, 1000 Navy Pentagon, Room 5A532, Washington, DC 20350-1000. Please mail a copy of the appeal to Commander, Naval Sea Systems Command (SEA 00A5), 1333 Isaac Hull Ave SE, Washington Navy Yard, DC 20376-1021

As an alternative to filing an appeal, you may seek Alternate Dispute Resolution (ADR). You may submit your request for ADR either to DONFOIA-PA@navy.mil or you can call the Navy FOIA Service Center at 202-685-0214 or via mail to the Chief of Naval Operations (DNS-36), Attn: FOIA/PA Service Center, c/o-Head, SECNAV/CNO FOIA/PA Program Office, 2000 Navy Pentagon, Washington, DC 20350-2000.

Fees for processing your request have been waived in this instance. However, fees are assessed on a case-by-case basis and you may be charged fees on future requests.

If you have any questions concerning the foregoing, please contact Ms. Ginger Dolan at 202-781-3359.

Sincerely,

JAVIER MARTINEZ

Initial Denial Authority

Enclosure